	SENI WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION TOP AND						
	UNCLASSIFIED CONFIDE		NTIAL	SECRET			
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP							
то	NAME AN	DATE	INITIALS				
1			6/24	[]			
2	· •		E				
3	C/SOD/GB- Comment		241964				
4	500/55	·					
5	★ C/3	Sed	r9 June				
6	Xo			`			
	ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE				
	APPROVAL	DISPATCH	 	ENDATION			
<u></u>	COMMENT	FILE	RETURN				
-	CONCURRENCE	INFORMATION	SIGNATU	RE			
Remar If you appeare the attached communts. [] will HAND bot menns to interested with short menns to interested with short menns to interested with short menns to interested LEASE VO-Approved.							
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER							
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. DATE							
	UNCLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL SECRET						

FORM NO. 237 Use previous editions

11.11 --- 1.

(40)

* U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1961 0-587282

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY SOURCES METHODS EXEMPTION 3826 NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACTUATE 2007

30 Jun 1964

REPORTED FOR SOME OF STREET, Projects and Progress Group.

TO Joseph Street, Projects and Progress Groves.

1. Special Operations Maister recommends that or this project not be approved in any amount which ox-cooks the FY 1364 expanditume.

2. Means are copy of 500 review, actualise.

deschool?

1 (23 June 1964)

300/c/01/04s

Distribution:

Orde, 8 1 - C/DDP/80 w/set.

1 - C/SOD WOLF.

SOD/Flans Project Record Sheet

- Note Bases	n (manetta, e dapan sizio afri distili Angen Albanian e Selan Salari Manetta di Selan Salari Manetta di Selan S	a_T_19	A. Begraner	大学者が大きない。 1977年 - 1978年 - 1
To:		Project Name	:	MIDSEOT.
1.	C/900	Headquarters Component	*	20/208
2.		Country	e B	territoria arua
3.	DEP/FG/CA	Type of Action	:	Beneval
4.		Reviewing Officer	:	BOD/GD/DR - □
5.		Date Received	-	25 June 1964
6.	80D/GB/DR	Date Due in DDF/FG	2	24 June 1964

Comments: This is a DORE request for renownly under the name WUDEFOR, of the activities formerly conducted by ER Division (as ANDEROY and ANACHE) to meet JCS vertime UV requirements. The nature of these activities are vell known from the reviews that were occasioned during the past project year by the transfer of those projects from SR to DODS, and by the SCD "Beview of Projects Which Respond to JOS Bequiremoute for Staybehind."

This renewal requests a total of \$203,470 for FY 1965, this being an increase of \$64,270 over the estimated 77 1964 expenditures. The purpose of this increase minly is to provide for processing thirty agents during FY 1965 as compared to twenty-three in FY 1964.

As of the time of this request the preject had provided seventy-one agents for SR, twenty-five for EE, and thirteen for EE. In the case of SR and MI, these figures represented satisfaction of the bulk of their requirements; in the case of EE, only about half of the requirements were filled. The FT 1965 activity (and costs), therefore, will be mainly to esticty EE requirements, and to recorp normal leases through attrition.

It will be recalled by everyone interested in this project that 800, in the review cited above, seriously challenged the validity of the concopts employed by this project to satisfy JCS vartime UV requirements in the Denied Areas, and especially in the SR area; and that SOD also sugmested that there should be a critical responsisal of these JCB requiremoute. This has not yet been done. The appropriate time to do it is

> GROUP 1 Excluded from automatic coungrading and

SECRET

when the new USCINCEUR requirements are received, and the Agency is requested by the JCS to respond. This should be in the near future. When it is done, we believe there should be a reduction in the scope of this project particularly as it relates to the SR requirements. With this in view it would seem that the trend new should be toward retrenchment rather than expansion; and since better than 65 per cent of the scope of the project has been devoted to SR requirements, it would seem that there could be some retrenchment (and perhaps readjustment) in this area without prejudice to legitimate EE requirements.

The NE requirements are budgeted for independently and separately by NE Division under project ARLEAP, which requests \$19,530 for FY 1965 activities.

In view of above considerations, SOD/GB recommends that C/SOD not concur in this renewal in any amount which exceeds the FY 1964 expenditure.